

Long-range interaction between dust grains in plasma

D. Yu. Mishagli*

*Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics,
Odessa I.I. Mechnikov National University, 2 Dvoryanska str., 65026 Odessa, Ukraine*

In the present paper the model interaction potential between dust grains is proposed. It is presented by two independent components, correspond to repulsive and attractive parts. The repulsive part represented by hard-core potential and the potential of Coulomb interaction. The attractive part is described by multipole interaction between two cells (we use the cell model of dusty plasma) which is long-range on its nature. The multipole interaction, by-turn, is presented by two additive contributions: the interaction multipole-induced multipole, which is an analogue of dispersion interaction between neutral atoms (molecules), and the interaction between two multipoles. The dominating contribution to attractive part of interaction potential is determined.

PACS numbers: 52.25.Gj, 52.27.Lw

Keywords: dusty plasma; dust crystals; cellular approach; interaction potential; fluctuations

I. INTRODUCTION

Dusty (complex) plasma consist of weakly ionized gas (plasma) and highly charged microparticles (grains). There were several surprising effects discovered in complex plasmas: ordered structures and phase transitions in it, dust voids [1–3]. Therefore the problem of interaction between dust grains is significant.

The forces, that order dust grains, are not correspond to direct Coulomb interaction (see, e.g., Ref [4]). Dust grains in a plasma due to various conditions gain huge charge ($\sim 10^4$ in units of electron charge). This charge can be positive or negative. The main difficulty is to describe the attraction between grains in such a system.

There were a lot of papers, where this problem in one way or another was considered [5–9]. However, this question is still open.

In the present work the model interparticle potential that predicts attraction between dust grains is proposed. The essential role in our construction plays the cell approach to dusty plasma.

II. AVERAGED POTENTIAL OF MULTIPOLE INTERACTION BETWEEN DUST GRAINS

In this section we formulate the general approach and discuss the method of its solution.

A. The cell model of complex plasma

According to its electroneutrality plasma can be represented as the collection of electroneutral cells of radius

$$r_c = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4\pi n_p} \right)^{1/3}, \quad (1)$$

where n_p is the dust grain density. The electroneutrality condition for a cell is

$$Ze + 4\pi \int_{r_p}^{r_c} \rho(\mathbf{r}) r^2 dr = 0. \quad (2)$$

Here Z is the average dust grain charge, e is the electron charge, r_p is dust grain radius, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is the volume-charge density that can be determined in the self-consistent approximation. Note, that in the absence of an external electric

*Electronic address: mishagli@onu.edu.ua

field the electric charge distribution has spherical symmetry: $\rho(\mathbf{r}) \Rightarrow \rho(r)$. Eq. (2) allows us to establish dependence of Debye radius r_D on the average dust grain charge Z . This was discussed in detail in Ref. [10].

In the self-consistent field approximation the interaction between cells is absent. But it can appear due to fluctuations. Let us consider this mechanism in detail.

B. Main statements of our approach

Plasma represents as the collection of noninteracting cells in the self-consistent field approximation. The systematic perturbation of cell's spherical form occurs due to the thermal motion of dust grains and plasma particles (*i.e.* electrons and ions). Therefore fluctuation electric multipole moments occur and generate long-range electric fields.

The electric field of one cell acts on its neighbours and generates interaction effects, which are similar to dispersion interaction between neutral atoms (molecules). In detail there are two interaction mechanisms:

1. The fluctuation field of one cell polarizes neighbour one. Therefore, the second cell gains certain value of induced multipole moments $M^{(\text{ind})}$. Induced multipole moments $M^{(\text{ind})}$ interact with multipole moments M of the first cell. The average value of such an interaction is an analogue of the dispersion interaction between neutral atoms (molecules):

$$\Phi_1 = \langle W_{MM^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle. \quad (3)$$

2. The interaction of fluctuation multipole moments of both cells. The average value of such an interaction is determined in a different way:

$$\Phi_2 = -\beta \langle W_{MM'}^2 \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Here $\beta = 1/kT$, prime denotes multipole moments of the other cell.

It is easy to ascertain, that both components lead to attraction between dust grains. The dominating contribution in both cases determines by dipole–dipole interactions, decreasing as $1/R^6$. At the same time, with the dust grains approach, when their “coats” of electrons begin to cover, repulsion forces are occur since grains have charges of equal signs. This allows us qualitatively to model the interaction between two cells (*i.e.* grains) with potential

$$U(R) = U_r(R) + U_a(R), \quad (5)$$

where $U_r(R)$ and $U_a(R)$ are the repulsive and the attractive parts of $U(R)$ respectively. The repulsive part of $U(R)$ is modeled by the combination of the hard-core (the radius of hard-core coincide with grain's radius r_p) potential and the potential of Coulomb repulsion on distances $2r_p < R < 2(r_p + r_D)$:

$$U_r(R) = \begin{cases} \infty, & R \leq 2r_p; \\ \frac{(Ze)^2}{r}, & 2r_p < R < 2(r_p + r_D). \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

The attractive part $U_a(R)$ is conditioned by forces of electric multipole interaction:

$$U_a(R) = \Phi_1(R) + \Phi_2(R). \quad (7)$$

The proposed interaction potential can lead to ordered structures for certain values of temperature and dust grain density. Let us determine dominating contributions to $\Phi_1(R)$ and $\Phi_2(R)$ according to (3) and (4).

C. “Dispersion” interparticle interaction

The average energy of electrostatic multipole–induced multipole interaction is determined according to (3) as follows:

$$\Phi_1(R) = \langle W_{DD^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle + \langle W_{DQ^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle + \langle W_{QQ^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle + \dots, \quad (8)$$

where contributions $\langle W_{DD^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle$, $\langle W_{DQ^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle$ and $\langle W_{QQ^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle$ describes averaged dipole–dipole, dipole–quadrupole and quadrupole–quadrupole interactions respectively.

The main contribution $\langle W_{DD^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle$ can be estimated from the following simple considerations. It was noted above that fluctuation field of one cell polarizes the other one. Therefore the second cell acquires induced dipole moment

$\mathbf{d}^{(\text{ind})} = \alpha \mathbf{d}/R^3$, where α is the polarizability of a cell and $\mathbf{d}$ is fluctuating dipole moment of the first cell. Thus the energy of dipole–induced dipole interaction is $W_{DD^{(\text{ind})}} = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{E}^{(\text{ind})}$ (here $\mathbf{E}^{(\text{ind})} = \mathbf{d}^{(\text{ind})}/R^3$ is the induced field of the second cell). The careful analysis of this problem gives

$$\Phi_1(R) = \langle W_{DD^{(\text{ind})}} \rangle + \dots \simeq -4\alpha \frac{\langle \mathbf{d}^2 \rangle}{R^6}. \quad (9)$$

D. Electrostatic multipole interaction

The average value of the direct electrostatic multipole interaction given by (4) can be represented as follows

$$\Phi_2(R) = -kT \left(\frac{A_6}{R^6} + \frac{A_8}{R^8} + \frac{A_{10}}{R^{10}} + \dots \right). \quad (10)$$

Here coefficients A_i ($i = 6, 8, 10, \dots$) express through the mean-square values of the multipole moments of a cell. To find dipole–dipole contribution we can follow the authors of Ref. [11] where dipole fluid is considered. Thus

$$\Phi_2(R) \simeq -\frac{2}{3}\beta \frac{\langle \mathbf{d}^2 \rangle^2}{R^6}. \quad (11)$$

The rest contributions to (8) and (10) require more detailed analysis and will be considered in further paper.

III. POLARIZABILITY OF A CELL

In the preceding section the model potential of interparticle interaction $U(R)$ has been introduced. Also it was shown that we need to determine polarizability and dipole moment of a cell to know the main contribution to attractive part of $U(R)$. Thus let us consider the electroneutral cell in an external electric field $\mathbf{E}_0$.

Under such conditions the electroneutral cell acquires polarization vector $\mathbf{P} = \alpha \mathbf{E}_0$. On the other hand, polarization vector is a dipole moment of the unit cell volume:

$$\mathbf{P} = \int_V \mathbf{r} \cdot \rho(\mathbf{r}) dV, \quad (12)$$

where vector $\mathbf{r}$ is measured from the middle of the grain, $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ is the volume charge density determined in the self-consistent field approximation:

$$\rho(r, \vartheta) = e \left[\sum_i z_a n_{a0} \exp \left(-\frac{z_a e \phi(r, \vartheta)}{kT} \right) - n_{e0} \exp \left(\frac{e \phi(r, \vartheta)}{kT} \right) \right]. \quad (13)$$

Here $z_a e$ is the charge of an ion of kind a (e is the electron charge, z_a are the positive and negative numbers), ϕ is the electric potential inside the cell, n_{e0} and n_{a0} are the electron and ion of kind a densities respectively with $\phi = 0$. It was taken into account that electric potential distribution in the presence of an external electric field loses its spherical symmetry.

The potential $\phi(r, \vartheta)$ satisfies the Poisson equation

$$\Delta \phi(r, \vartheta) = -4\pi \rho(r, \vartheta), \quad (14)$$

which is completed with the next boundary conditions:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi(r_c, \vartheta) = -E_0 r_c \cos \vartheta, \\ \left. \frac{\partial \phi(r, \vartheta)}{\partial n} \right|_{r=r_p} = -\frac{Ze}{r_p^2} + E_0 \cos \vartheta. \end{array} \right. \quad (15)$$

In further investigation we use the next dimensionless variables:

$$\tilde{r} = \frac{r}{r_p}, \quad \varsigma = \frac{r_c}{r_p}, \quad \tilde{E}_0 = \frac{eE_0}{kT} r_p, \quad Z_0 = \frac{kT}{e^2} r_p. \quad (16)$$

It is suggested that $e\phi \ll kT$ and the Poisson equation (14) can be linearized. Then the solution of (14) for renormalized dimensionless potential

$$\psi = \frac{e\phi}{kT} + \eta, \quad \eta = \frac{n_{e0} - \sum_a z_a n_{a0}}{n_{e0} + \sum_a z_a^2 n_{a0}} \quad (17)$$

has the form

$$\psi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta) = \psi_0(\tilde{r}) + \psi_1(\tilde{r}, \vartheta). \quad (18)$$

Here

$$\psi_0(\tilde{r}) = \lambda \left(A_0 \frac{\sinh \tilde{r}/\lambda}{\tilde{r}} + B_0 \frac{\cosh \tilde{r}/\lambda}{\tilde{r}} \right) \quad (19)$$

is the isotropic part of the potential, the angular part is proportional to $\cos \vartheta$ and equals to

$$\psi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta) = \tilde{E}_0 \cos \vartheta \left[A_1 \left(-\lambda^2 \frac{\sinh \tilde{r}/\lambda}{\tilde{r}^2} + \lambda \frac{\cosh \tilde{r}/\lambda}{\tilde{r}} \right) + B_1 \left(\lambda \frac{\sinh \tilde{r}/\lambda}{\tilde{r}} - \lambda^2 \frac{\cosh \tilde{r}/\lambda}{\tilde{r}^2} \right) \right]. \quad (20)$$

is the potential of an external field inside a cell and $\lambda = r_D/r_p$ is the dimensionless Debye length

$$r_D = \sqrt{\frac{kT}{4\pi e^2 \left(n_{e0} + \sum_a z_a^2 n_{a0} \right)}}.$$

for the dust grain. Coefficients A_i, B_i ($i=0,1$) are determined by the boundary conditions (15) and have the form

$$A_0 = -\frac{(Z/Z_0) \cosh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} + \eta \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} \left(\sinh \frac{1}{\lambda} - \lambda \cosh \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)}{\lambda \sinh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda} + \cosh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda}}, \quad B_0 = \frac{(Z/Z_0) \sinh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} + \eta \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} \left(-\lambda \sinh \frac{1}{\lambda} + \cosh \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)}{\lambda \sinh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda} + \cosh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda}}$$

and

$$A_1 = \tilde{E}_0 \frac{2\varsigma^3 \sinh \frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{2\lambda^2+1}{\lambda} \varsigma^3 \cosh \frac{1}{\lambda} - \varsigma \sinh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} + \lambda \cosh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda}}{\lambda(2\varsigma - 2\lambda^2 - 1) \sinh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda} + (2\lambda^2(\varsigma - 1) + \varsigma) \cosh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda}} \equiv \tilde{E}_0 A_1^*,$$

$$B_1 = \tilde{E}_0 \frac{\frac{2\lambda^2+1}{\lambda} \varsigma^3 \sinh \frac{1}{\lambda} - 2\varsigma^3 \cosh \frac{1}{\lambda} - \lambda \sinh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} + \varsigma \cosh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda}}{\lambda(2\varsigma - 2\lambda^2 - 1) \sinh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda} + (2\lambda^2(\varsigma - 1) + \varsigma) \cosh \frac{\varsigma-1}{\lambda}} \equiv \tilde{E}_0 B_1^*.$$

Thus founded electric potential (18) inside the polarized cell allows us, finally, to determine it's polarizability. Indeed, linearized Poisson equation gives us

$$\rho(r, \vartheta) = -\frac{kT}{4\pi e r_p^2} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \psi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta)$$

and according to (12) and $P = \alpha E_0$ we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{r_p^3}{3} \left\{ A_1^* \left[-(\varsigma^2 + 3\lambda^2) \sinh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} + 3\lambda\varsigma \cosh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} + (1 + 3\lambda^2) \sinh \frac{1}{\lambda} - 3\lambda \cosh \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \right. \\ \left. + B_1^* \left[3\lambda\varsigma \sinh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} - (\varsigma^2 + 3\lambda^2) \cosh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} - 3\lambda \sinh \frac{1}{\lambda} + (1 + 3\lambda^2) \cosh \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \right\}. \quad (21)$$

The dependence of α/r_p^3 on r_c/r_p is shown in Fig. 1.

Next, we turn to the definition of the multipole moments of the cell.

FIG. 1: Dimensionless polarizability α/r_p^3 as the function of r_c/r_p . The parameters are: $T = 2000$ K, $r_p = 10^{-4}$ cm. Here considered ions of only one kind with $z_a = 1$ and, therefore, $\eta = 1$.

IV. MEAN-SQUARE FLUCTUATION MULTIPOLE MOMENTS OF A CELL

Let us consider the electroneutral cell with the perturbed surface shape. We assume that the fluctuations of the radius r_c are small and can be expanded in a series of spherical harmonics:

$$r_c(\vartheta, \varphi) = r_c^{(0)} + \delta r(\vartheta, \varphi), \quad \delta r(\vartheta, \varphi) = r_p \sum_{nm} \gamma_{nm} Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi). \quad (22)$$

Here $r_c^{(0)}$ is the nonperturbed cell's radius, and dimensionless coefficients γ_{nm} that determine degree of deflection of the cell's surface shape. According to the thermodynamic theory of fluctuations (see Ref. [12])

$$\langle |\gamma_{nm}|^2 \rangle \simeq \frac{kT}{W_{nm}}, \quad (23)$$

where W_{nm} are the expansion coefficients for the increment of the electrostatic energy inside the cell:

$$\delta W = \sum_{nm} W_{nm} |\gamma_{nm}|^2. \quad (24)$$

To determine coefficients $\langle |\gamma_{nm}|^2 \rangle$ and multipole moments let us consider the evident expressions for the potential of fluctuation electric field.

A. Fluctuation electric field

Let ϕ_{in} and ϕ_{out} be the electrostatic potentials inside and outside perturbed cell respectively. The potentials ϕ_{in} and ϕ_{out} satisfy equations

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{in}} = -4\pi\rho, \quad (25)$$

$$\Delta \phi_{\text{out}} = 0 \quad (26)$$

and boundary conditions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi_{\text{in}}|_{r_c} = \phi_{\text{out}}|_{r_c} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}}{\partial n} \Big|_{r_p} = -4\pi\sigma, \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}}{\partial n} \Big|_{r_c} = \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}}{\partial n} \Big|_{r_c}, \\ \phi_{\text{out}}(\mathbf{r}) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (27)$$

Note, that potentials ϕ_{in} and ϕ_{out} depend on all spherical coordinates. The dependence on coordinate φ is absent above.

The electric potential ϕ_{in} inside the cell is perturbed as well as the cell's surface shape. Therefore it can be represented in the dimensionless variables (16) and (17) as follows:

$$\psi_{\text{in}}(\tilde{r}, \vartheta, \varphi) = \psi_0(\tilde{r}) + \delta\psi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta, \varphi).$$

Here $\psi_0(\tilde{r})$ is the equilibrium electric potential inside the cell has been determined above (see Eq. (19)), and $\delta\psi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta, \varphi) \equiv e\delta\phi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta, \varphi)/kT$ is the potential caused by deviation of cell's surface from spherical one. Thus Eq. (25) after linearization (we also assume $e\phi_{\text{in}} \ll kT$) disintegrates in two independent equations:

$$\Delta\psi_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\psi_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta\delta\psi = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\delta\psi.$$

The equation for ψ_0 has already been solved in the preceding section. The fluctuation part $\delta\psi$ due to its smallness can be expanded in a series of spherical harmonics, and we obtain

$$\delta\psi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi\lambda}{2\tilde{r}}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^n Y_{mn}(\vartheta, \varphi) \left[C_{mn} I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{r}/\lambda) + D_{mn} I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{r}/\lambda) \right], \quad (28)$$

where $I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ and $I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ are the Modified Spherical Bessel functions of the first and second kind respectively.

The Laplace equation (26) in the exterior has an obvious solution:

$$\phi_{\text{out}}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = \sum_{nm} \frac{\mu_{nm}}{r^{n+1}} Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi). \quad (29)$$

It is known that the coefficients μ_{nm} are the required multipole moments.

B. Evident expression for multipole moments

To determine coefficients C_{nm} , D_{nm} we will do the next. Expanding the first boundary condition in (27) in series near the $r_c^{(0)}$ and restricting ourself by contribution of the first order we obtain

$$\delta\psi(\varsigma, \vartheta, \varphi) + \frac{1}{r_p} \frac{\partial \psi_p(\tilde{r})}{\partial \tilde{r}} \Big|_{\varsigma} \delta r(\vartheta, \varphi) = 0. \quad (30)$$

The second condition in (27) under the

$$\frac{\partial \psi_p(r)}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_p} = -4\pi\sigma$$

gives us

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} \delta\psi(\tilde{r}, \vartheta, \varphi) \Big|_{\tilde{r}=1} = 0. \quad (31)$$

Equations (30), (31) allows us to determine coefficients C_{nm} , D_{nm} :

$$C_{nm} = \gamma_{nm} \sqrt{\frac{2\varsigma}{\pi\lambda}} A_0 \left(-\lambda \frac{\sinh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma^2} + \frac{\cosh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma} \right) + B_0 \left(\frac{\sinh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma} - \lambda \frac{\cosh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma^2} \right) \frac{I_{n+\frac{3}{2}}(1/\lambda) + n\lambda I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(1/\lambda)}{I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda) \frac{I_{-n-\frac{3}{2}}(1/\lambda) + n\lambda I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(1/\lambda)}{I_{n+\frac{3}{2}}(1/\lambda) + n\lambda I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(1/\lambda)} - I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda)} \equiv C_n \gamma_{nm},$$

$$D_{nm} = \gamma_{nm} \sqrt{\frac{2\varsigma}{\pi\lambda}} A_0 \left(-\lambda \frac{\sinh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma^2} + \frac{\cosh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma} \right) + B_0 \left(\frac{\sinh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma} - \lambda \frac{\cosh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma^2} \right) \frac{I_{-n-\frac{3}{2}}(1/\lambda) + n\lambda I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(1/\lambda)}{I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda) \frac{I_{-n-\frac{3}{2}}(1/\lambda) + n\lambda I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(1/\lambda)}{I_{n+\frac{3}{2}}(1/\lambda) + n\lambda I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(1/\lambda)} - I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda)} \equiv D_n \gamma_{nm},$$

Now, we use the third condition from (27). We have:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}}{\partial n} \right|_{r_c} = \frac{kT}{er_p} \left. \frac{\partial \psi_p}{\partial \tilde{r}} \right|_{\varsigma} + \frac{kT}{er_p} \left. \frac{\partial \delta \psi}{\partial \tilde{r}} \right|_{\varsigma},$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}}{\partial n} \right|_{r_c} = -\frac{1}{r_p^{n+2}} \sum_{mn} \frac{(n+1)\mu_{mn}}{\varsigma^{n+2}} Y_n^{(m)}(\vartheta, \varphi).$$

From the equality of corresponding expressions before spherical harmonics we finally obtain

$$\mu_{00} = -r_p \frac{kT}{e} \varsigma^2 \left[A_0 \left(-\lambda \frac{\sinh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma^2} + \frac{\cosh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma} \right) + B_0 \left(\frac{\sinh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma} - \lambda \frac{\cosh \varsigma/\lambda}{\varsigma^2} \right) \right], \quad (32)$$

$$\mu_{nm} = -r_p^{n+1} \frac{kT}{e} \frac{\varsigma^{n+2}}{n+1} Q_n \gamma_{nm}, \quad (n > 0), \quad (33)$$

where

$$Q_n = C_n \sqrt{\frac{\pi\lambda}{2\varsigma}} \left[I_{n+\frac{3}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda) + n \frac{\lambda}{\varsigma} I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda) \right] + D_n \sqrt{\frac{\pi\lambda}{2\varsigma}} \left[I_{-n-\frac{3}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda) + n \frac{\lambda}{\varsigma} I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(\varsigma/\lambda) \right]. \quad (34)$$

We are interested in the case $n > 0$. So, the mean-square value of the multipole moments according to (33) is

$$\langle |\mu_{nm}|^2 \rangle = \left(r_p^{n+1} \frac{kT}{e} \frac{\varsigma^{n+2}}{n+1} Q_n \right)^2 \langle |\gamma_{nm}|^2 \rangle. \quad (35)$$

Let us determine $\langle |\gamma_{nm}|^2 \rangle$ according to (23). The electrostatic energy W_{el} can be determined in a standard way:

$$W_{\text{el}} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_V [\nabla \phi_{\text{in}}(r, \vartheta, \varphi)]^2 d\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \int_0^\pi \sin \vartheta d\vartheta \int_{r_p}^{r_c^{(0)} + \delta r(\vartheta, \varphi)} \{ \nabla [\phi_0(r) + \delta \phi(r, \vartheta, \varphi)] \}^2 r^2 dr.$$

The dominating term of the last expression (when we put δr and $\delta \phi$ equal to zero) is the energy $W_{\text{el}}^{(0)}$ of the electrostatic field of charged dust grain obtained and discussed in Ref. [1]. Now, we are interested in the term (24) which corresponds to the fluctuation term $\delta \phi$. To determine δW we take into account the smallness of δr . Let us expand the last integral over the upper limit. Note, that contributions proportionate to δr can be omitted due to $\langle \delta r \rangle = 0$. Thus, constraining with the first infinitesimal order and taking into account (24) we obtain:

$$W_{nm} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{kT}{e} \right)^2 r_p \left(W_{nm}^{(1)} + W_{nm}^{(2)} + W_{nm}^{(3)} \right), \quad (36)$$

where

$$W_{nm}^{(1)} = \left[\frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \tilde{r}} \frac{\partial^2 \psi_0}{\partial \tilde{r}^2} \tilde{r}^2 + \left(\frac{\partial \phi_0}{\partial \tilde{r}} \right)^2 \tilde{r} \right] \bigg|_{\varsigma} \int_\Omega d\Omega Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi) Y_{lp}(\vartheta, \varphi),$$

$$W_{nm}^{(2)} = \int_\Omega d\Omega \int_1^\varsigma \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{r} \left\{ \tilde{\nabla} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi\lambda}{2\tilde{r}}} Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi) \left[C_n I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{r}/\lambda) + D_n I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{r}/\lambda) \right] \right] \right\}^2,$$

$$W_{nm}^{(3)} = 2 \left\{ \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \tilde{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi\lambda}{2\tilde{r}}} \left[C_n I_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{r}/\lambda) + D_n I_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}(\tilde{r}/\lambda) \right] \right] \tilde{r}^2 \right\} \bigg|_{\varsigma} \int_\Omega d\Omega Y_{nm}(\vartheta, \varphi) Y_{lp}(\vartheta, \varphi).$$

Here $\tilde{\nabla}$ is an usual *nabla* operator ∇ written in dimensionless form.

C. The mean-square value of dipole moment of a cell

Let us put $n = 1$ and $m = 0$. Then

$$Y_{10}(\vartheta, \varphi) = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos \vartheta,$$

and we have for $W_{10}^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$):

$$\begin{aligned} W_{10}^{(1)} &= -\frac{(Z/Z_0)^2}{\varsigma D^2} + \frac{Z/Z_0}{\varsigma \lambda^2 D^2} \left[\lambda(2\varsigma - \varsigma^2 - 2\lambda^2) \sinh \frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda} + (2\varsigma \lambda^2 - 2\lambda^2 - \varsigma^2) \cosh \frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varsigma \lambda^3 D^2} \left\{ [\varsigma^3(\lambda^2 + 1) + 2\varsigma(\lambda^4 + \lambda^2) - 22\lambda^4 - 4\varsigma^2 \lambda^2] \sinh 2\frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda [2\varsigma^3 + 4\varsigma \lambda^2 - (2\varsigma + \lambda^2)(\lambda + 1)] \cosh 2\frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda} + \lambda^3(\lambda^2 - 1) \right\}, \\ W_{10}^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{\varsigma^3} \left(\frac{1}{2}\varsigma^3 - \frac{1}{2}\varsigma^4 - \varsigma^2 \lambda^2 + \varsigma^3 \lambda^2 + \lambda^4 - \varsigma^3 \lambda^4 \right) (C_1^2 - D_1^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varsigma^3} \left[\frac{1}{4}\varsigma \lambda (\varsigma^2 + 8\lambda^2) \sinh 2\varsigma \lambda - \frac{1}{4}\varsigma^3 \lambda (8\lambda^2 + 1) \sinh \frac{2}{\lambda} + \lambda^2 (\varsigma^2 + \lambda^2) \cosh \frac{2\varsigma}{\lambda} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \varsigma^3 \lambda^2 (\lambda^2 + 1) \cosh \frac{2}{\lambda} \right] (C_1^2 + D_1^2), \\ W_{10}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{\varsigma^2 \lambda D} \left[(Z/Z_0) \lambda - (\varsigma - 1) \lambda \cosh \frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda} + (\lambda^2 - \varsigma) \sinh \frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda} \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[(\varsigma^2 + 2\lambda^2) \sinh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} - 2\varsigma \lambda \cosh \frac{\varsigma}{\lambda} \right] (C_1 + D_1), \\ D &= \lambda \sinh \frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda} + \cosh \frac{\varsigma - 1}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

V. CONCLUSION

In the work a new approach to description of interaction between dust grains in plasma is proposed. It is taken into account that grains are in the electroneutral cells in equilibrium. Therefore the direct interaction between grains is absent. However, a thermal motion of dust grains plasma particles leads to charge fluctuation inside a cell and to surface shape fluctuation of a cell. The latter type of fluctuations is discussed in the presented work.

It is shown, that the deviation of the surface of a cell from the spherical form is accompanied with the occurrence of non-zero multipole electrostatic moments that form long-range fields. The interaction of fluctuation multipole moments of the neighbouring cells with these fields leads to interaction between the cells (*i.d.* the grains), the dominating contribution of which is decreasing as $1/R^6$. At the same time it is clear that at the short distances between the dust grains the screening effects sufficiently decay and the interaction has the character of Coulomb repulsion of the two charged grains.

The evident form of the potential of interaction between the dust grains is proposed. In principle such a potential can describe the ordering effects of the dust grains, in particular the formation of quasi-crystal structures. The detail analysis of the proposed potential will be the subject of further work.

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Professor Malomuzh N. P. and Mr. Lantratov S. A. for numerous discussions. Also I am appreciate to Professor Lev B. I. for the discussion of the obtained results during “XII Ukrainian school-seminar and

contest for young scientists in statistical physics and condensed matter theory” (Lviv, 2012).

- [1] Fortov V.E., Khrapak A.G. *et al.*, Phys. Usp. **47**, 5 (2004).
- [2] G.E. Morfill, A.V. Ivlev, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 1353 (2009).
- [3] Chaudhuri M., Ivlev A.V. *eq al* Soft Matter **7**, 4 (2011); doi: 10.1039/c0sm00813c.
- [4] Tsytoich V.N., Phys. Usp. **50**, 4 (2007).
- [5] Belotserkovskii O.M., Zakharov I.E. *eq al*, JETP **88**, 3 (1999). [Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz., 1999, **115**, No. 3, 819 (in Russian)]
- [6] Fortov V.E., Nefedov A.P. *eq al*, JETP **89**, 5 (1999). [Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz., 1999, **116**, No. 5, 1601 (in Russian)]
- [7] Mankelevich Yu.A., Olevanov M.A. *eq al*, JETP **94**, 6 (2002). [Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz., 2002, **121**, No. 6, 1288 (in Russian)]
- [8] Filippov A.V., Zagorodny A.G. *eq al*, JETP **105**, 4 (2007). [Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz., 2007, **132**, No. 4, 949 (in Russian)]
- [9] Khrapak S.A., Morfill G.E., Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 255003 (2009); doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.255003.
- [10] Mishagli D. Yu., Ukr. J. Phys. *In press*.
- [11] Kulinskii V.L., Malomuzh N.P., Phys. Rev. E **67**, 011501 (2003); doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.67.011501.
- [12] Landau L.D., Lifshits L.D. *Statistical Physics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1980).